1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 8 9 FERNANDO NAVARRO HERNANDEZ, 10 Petitioner, 3:09-cv-00545-LRH-WGC 11 vs. **ORDER** 12 TIMOTHY FILSON, et al., 13 Respondents. 14 15 In this habeas corpus action, the respondents were to respond to Fernando Navarro Hernandez's fourth amended habeas petition (ECF No. 147) by December 26, 2017. See Order 16 17 entered October 26, 2017 (ECF No. 157). 18 On December 26, 2017, respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 159), 19 requesting an extension of time to January 16, 2017. Respondents' counsel states that he has been 20 extremely busy with other cases, primarily capital habeas corpus cases. Hernandez does not oppose 21 the extension of time. 22 The Court finds that respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not 23 solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested. 24 In light of the litigation in this case over the past several months regarding Hernandez's motion for 25 stay, the complexity of this case, and counsel's caseload, and to forestall any further motion to 26 ///

extend this deadline, the Court will extend to March 3, 2018, the time for respondents to respond to the fourth amended petition. *The Court will not be inclined to further extend this deadline*.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF No. 159) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until **March 3, 2018**, to file an answer or other response to petitioner's fourth amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.

DATED this 27th day of December, 2017.

LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE